Minutes - March 3, 2016 SRC Executive Committee - Steering

Meeting called by Adam Leonard - Chair at 12:04 pm White River Junction Members Present Sam Liss, Diane Dalmasse (via Scopia), Alaina Clements, Samantha Brennan (via phone), Spencer Lemons, Julia Burakian (via phone) and Michele Hubert (via phone) Members Absent Nany Breiden, Ben Wimett, Martha Frank, Olivia Smith-Hammond and Leslie Walker Mitchell SRC Liaison James Smith, DVR Budget and Policy Manager SRC Loordinator Rebekah M. Stephens Interpreters n/a Speakers/Presenters n/a Guests n/a 1. Today's Agenda 1. Today's Agenda 1. Today's agenda accepted. Action Items None Person Responsible No discussion. Sam Liss moved to accept. Alaina Clements 2nd. Conclusions No discussion. Alaina Clements moved to approve. Sam Liss 2nd. Action Items Upload approved of Minutes - January 7, 2016 Committee Meeting 1. Discussion No discussion. Alaina Clements moved to approve. Sam Liss 2nd. Conclusions Minutes approved. Action Items Upload approved minutes to www.VTSRC.org Rebekah Stephens 3/11/2016 3. Discussion and Approval - New Draft Order of Selection for the FY 17 State Plan 30 minutes James Smith Discussion The RSA did not approve the original draft OOS. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category - "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft not use of the provided provide services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/	SRC Executive Committee - Steering							
Members Present Sam Liss, Diane Dalmasse (via Scopia), Alaina Clements, Samantha Brennan (via phone), Spencer Lemons, Julia Burakian (via phone) and Michele Hubert (via phone) Members Absent Nancy Breiden, Ben Wimett, Martha Frank, Olivia Smith-Hammond and Leslie Walker Mitchell SRC Liaison James Smith, DVR Budget and Policy Manager SRC Coordinator Rebekah M. Stephens Interpreters n/a Rebekah M. Stephens n/a Speakers/Presenters n/a	Thursday, March 3, 20							
Members Present Phone), Spencer Lemons, Julia Burakian (via phone) and Michele Hubert (via phone)	Meeting called by	Adam Leonard - Chair at 12:04 pm						
Mitchell SRC Liaison James Smith, DVR Budget and Policy Manager Rebekah M. Stephens Interpreters n/a Speakers/Presenters n/a 1. Today's Agenda 1. Today's Agenda 1. Today's Agenda accepted. Action Items None Action Items Upload approved minutes - January 7, 2016 Committee Meeting Conclusions Minutes approved. Minutes approved. Person Responsible n/a Discussion No discussion. Alaina Clements moved to approve. Sam Liss 2nd. Conclusions Minutes - January 7, 2016 Committee Meeting Person Responsible No discussion. Alaina Clements moved to approve. Sam Liss 2nd. Conclusions Minutes approved. Action Items Upload approved minutes to www.VISRC.org Rebekah Stephens 3/11/2016 3. Discussion and Approval - New Draft Order of Selection for the FY 17 State Plan 30 minutes James Smith Discussion. The RSA did not approve the original draft OOS. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category - "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft OOS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS was emailed out to	Members Present							
SRC Coordinator Rebekah M. Stephens Interpreters In/a Speakers/Presenters In/a Speakers/Speakers/Speakers In/a Speakers/Speak	Members Absent							
Interpreters n/a Speakers/Presenters n/a Guests n/a 1. Today's Agenda 1. Today's agenda accepted. Action Items None n/a n/a n/a 2. Approval of Minutes – January 7, 2016 Committee Meeting 1. Today's agenda accepted. Action Items None n/a n/a n/a 2. Approval of Minutes – January 7, 2016 Committee Meeting 1. Today's agenda accepted. Action Items No discussion. Alaina Clements moved to approve. Sam Liss 2nd. Conclusions Minutes approved. Action Items Upload approved minutes to www.VTSRC.org Rebekah Stephens 3/11/2016 3. Discussion and Approval – New Draft Order of Selection for the FY 17 State Plan 30 minutes James Smith Discussion The full SRC has been invited to this discussion. A quorum participated in the discussion. The RSA did not approve the original draft 00S. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category – "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft 0OS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft 0OS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final n	SRC Liaison	James Smi	ith, DVR Budget and P	olicy Manag	ger			
Speakers Presenters Na A	SRC Coordinator	Rebekah N	1. Stephens					
Today's Agenda Today's Agenda Today's agenda accepted.	Interpreters	n/a						
1. Today's Agenda Conclusion	Speakers/Presenters	n/a						
Discussion No discussion. Sam Liss moved to accept. Alaina Clements 2nd. Action Items None 2. Approval of Minutes – January 7, 2016 Committee Meeting	Guests	n/a						
Discussion No discussion. Sam Liss moved to accept. Alaina Clements 2nd.	1. Today's Agend	la						
Action Items None 2. Approval of Minutes - January 7, 2016 Committee Meeting Discussion No discussion. Alaina Clements moved to approve. Sam Liss 2 nd . Conclusions Minutes approved. Action Items Upload approved minutes to www.VTSRC.org Rebekah Stephens 3/11/2016 3. Discussion and Approval - New Draft Order of Selection for the FY 17 State Plan 30 minutes James Smith Discussion The full SRC has been invited to this discussion. A quorum participated in the discussion. The RSA did not approve the original draft OOS. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category - "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft OOS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft OOS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on								
None 2. Approval of Minutes – January 7, 2016 Committee Meeting Discussion No discussion. Alaina Clements moved to approve. Sam Liss 2 nd . Conclusions Minutes approved. Action Items Upload approved minutes to www.VTSRC.org Rebekah Stephens 3/11/2016 3. Discussion and Approval – New Draft Order of Selection for the FY 17 State Plan 30 minutes James Smith Discussion The full SRC has been invited to this discussion. A quorum participated in the discussion. The RSA did not approve the original draft OOS. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category – "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft OOS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft OOS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Discussion	No discuss	sion. Sam Liss moved	to accept.	Alaina Clements 2 nd .			
None n/a n/a n/a 2. Approval of Minutes - January 7, 2016 Committee Meeting n/a 2. Approval of Minutes - January 7, 2016 Committee Meeting n/a 3. Discussion Minutes approved. Minutes approved. Action Items Person Responsible Deadline Upload approved minutes to www.VTSRC.org Rebekah Stephens 3/11/2016 3. Discussion and Approval - New Draft Order of Selection for the FY 17 State Plan 30 minutes James Smith Discussion The full SRC has been invited to this discussion. A quorum participated in the discussion. The RSA did not approve the original draft OOS. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category - "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft OOS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft OOS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members we	Conclusions	Today's ag	enda accepted.					
2. Approval of Minutes – January 7, 2016 Committee Meeting Discussion No discussion. Alaina Clements moved to approve. Sam Liss 2nd. Conclusions Minutes approved. Action Items Upload approved minutes to www.VTSRC.org Rebekah Stephens 3/11/2016 3. Discussion and Approval – New Draft Order of Selection for the FY 17 State Plan 30 minutes James Smith Discussion The full SRC has been invited to this discussion. A quorum participated in the discussion. The RSA did not approve the original draft 00S. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category – "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft 0OS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft 0OS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to 0OS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft 0OS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft 0OS. James will provide the final new draft 0OS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Action Items				Person Responsible	Deadline		
Discussion No discussion. Alaina Clements moved to approve. Sam Liss 2nd. Conclusions Minutes approved. Action Items Person Responsible Deadline Upload approved minutes to www.VTSRC.org Rebekah Stephens 3/11/2016 3. Discussion and Approval – New Draft Order of Selection for the FY 17 State Plan 30 minutes James Smith Discussion The RSA did not approve the original draft 00S. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category – "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft 0OS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft 0OS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to 0OS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft 0OS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft 0OS. James will provide the final new draft 0OS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	None				n/a	n/a		
Discussion No discussion. Alaina Clements moved to approve. Sam Liss 2nd.	2. Approval of M	inutes - Jan	uary 7, 2016 Commit	tee Meeting				
Action Items Upload approved minutes to www.VTSRC.org Rebekah Stephens 3/11/2016 3. Discussion and Approval - New Draft Order of Selection for the FY 17 State Plan 30 minutes James Smith Discussion The full SRC has been invited to this discussion. A quorum participated in the discussion. The RSA did not approve the original draft OOS. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category - "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft OOS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft OOS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,					<u> </u>			
Action Items Upload approved minutes to www.VTSRC.org Rebekah Stephens 3/11/2016 3. Discussion and Approval - New Draft Order of Selection for the FY 17 State Plan 30 minutes James Smith Discussion The full SRC has been invited to this discussion. A quorum participated in the discussion. The RSA did not approve the original draft 00S. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category - "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft 00S today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft 00S. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to 00S language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft 00S will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft 00S. James will provide the final new draft 00S to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft 00S was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Discussion	No discuss	sion. Alaina Clements	moved to a	pprove. Sam Liss 2 nd .			
Upload approved minutes to www.VTSRC.org 3. Discussion and Approval – New Draft Order of Selection for the FY 17 State Plan 30 minutes James Smith Discussion The full SRC has been invited to this discussion. A quorum participated in the discussion. The RSA did not approve the original draft 00S. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category – "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft 0OS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft 0OS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to 0OS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft 0OS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft 0OS. James will provide the final new draft 0OS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Conclusions	·						
3. Discussion and Approval - New Draft Order of Selection for the FY 17 State Plan 30 minutes Discussion The full SRC has been invited to this discussion. A quorum participated in the discussion. The RSA did not approve the original draft OOS. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category - "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft OOS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft OOS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Action Items	··						
Discussion The full SRC has been invited to this discussion. A quorum participated in the discussion. The RSA did not approve the original draft OOS. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category – "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft OOS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft OOS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Upload approved minu	tes to <u>www.\</u>	/TSRC.org		Rebekah Stephens	3/11/2016		
Discussion The full SRC has been invited to this discussion. A quorum participated in the discussion. The RSA did not approve the original draft OOS. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category – "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft OOS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft OOS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	3. Discussion an	d Approval						
discussion. The RSA did not approve the original draft OOS. The RSA did not agree with using the SSA and SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category – "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft OOS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft OOS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	••							
SSDI as the criteria for Category 1 because having the condition that an individual must apply for SSA/SSDI was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category – "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft OOS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft OOS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	30 minutes	James Smi						
was not allowed under WIOA. The new draft includes a new Priority 1 Category – "Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft 00S today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft 00S. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to 00S language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft 00S will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft 00S. James will provide the final new draft 00S to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft 00S was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,			ith	this discuss	sion. A quorum participat	ed in the		
determined by DVR to have the most significant disabilities are those who have four or more areas of functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft OOS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft OOS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Discussion	The full SR	ith IC has been invited to					
functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft 00S today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft 00S. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to 00S language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft 00S will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft 00S. James will provide the final new draft 00S to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft 00S was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Discussion discussion. The RSA d	The full SR id not appro	ith IC has been invited to we the original draft O	OS. The RS	A did not agree with using	the SSA and		
functional loss requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more, either for the provision of a required service, or for the duration of the use of a provided good." VR estimates 1/3 of its current consumers would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft 00S today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft 00S. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to 00S language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft 00S will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft 00S. James will provide the final new draft 00S to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft 00S was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Discussion discussion. The RSA d SSDI as the criteria for	The full SR id not appro Category 1	ith IC has been invited to we the original draft O because having the co	OS. The RS andition tha	A did not agree with using t an individual must apply	the SSA and for SSA/SSDI		
would fall into this new Priority 1 Category. James has spoken to RSA about the new draft and the RSA has come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft OOS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft OOS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Discussion discussion. The RSA d SSDI as the criteria for was not allowed under	The full SR id not appro Category 1 WIOA. The	ith IC has been invited to we the original draft O because having the co new draft includes a n	OS. The RS andition tha ew Priority 1	A did not agree with using t an individual must apply 1 Category – "Individuals v	the SSA and for SSA/SSDI who have been		
come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft OOS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft OOS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Discussion discussion. The RSA d SSDI as the criteria for was not allowed under determined by DVR to I	The full SR id not appro Category 1 WIOA. The nave the mo	ith IC has been invited to we the original draft O because having the co new draft includes a no st significant disabilit	OS. The RS ondition that ew Priority ites are thos	A did not agree with using t an individual must apply 1 Category – "Individuals v e who have four or more a	the SSA and for SSA/SSDI who have been reas of		
come back with some technical language feedback. James is requesting from the SRC today, an approval on the general principal of the new draft OOS today and once he has had time to rework the wording he will forward out the final new draft OOS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Discussion discussion. The RSA d SSDI as the criteria for was not allowed under determined by DVR to I functional loss requirir	The full SR id not appro Category 1 WIOA. The maye the mong growth the mong growth in the mong growth in the mong growth in the second second in the second	ith C has been invited to ve the original draft O because having the co new draft includes a no st significant disabilit services over a period o	OS. The RS andition that ew Priority dies are those of 6 months	A did not agree with using t an individual must apply 1 Category – "Individuals v e who have four or more a s or more, either for the pr	the SSA and for SSA/SSDI who have been reas of ovision of a		
forward out the final new draft OOS. Diane Dalmasse asked why are we voting on something that is not acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Discussion discussion. The RSA d SSDI as the criteria for was not allowed under determined by DVR to I functional loss requirir required service, or for	The full SR id not appro Category 1 WIOA. The maye the mon g multiple s the duratio	ith C has been invited to we the original draft 0 because having the co new draft includes a ne st significant disabilit services over a period on	OS. The RS andition that we Priority 2 ies are those of 6 months ded good."	A did not agree with using t an individual must apply Category – "Individuals very who have four or more a for more, either for the proving the stimates 1/3 of its co	the SSA and for SSA/SSDI who have been reas of ovision of a urrent consumers		
acceptable to the RSA. The SRC members agree to wait to vote until all changes are made to OOS language. Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Discussion discussion. The RSA d SSDI as the criteria for was not allowed under determined by DVR to I functional loss requirir required service, or for would fall into this new	The full SR id not appro Category 1 WIOA. The mave the more multiple street the duration Priority 1 C	ith C has been invited to we the original draft O because having the co new draft includes a no st significant disabilit services over a period o n of the use of a provice category. James has s	OS. The RS ondition that ew Priority 2 ies are those of 6 months ded good."	A did not agree with using t an individual must apply 1 Category – "Individuals we who have four or more a for more, either for the province to the stimates 1/3 of its contact about the new draft and	the SSA and for SSA/SSDI who have been reas of ovision of a urrent consumers the RSA has		
Conclusions An actual vote of approval was not taken today. The final new draft OOS will be completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Discussion discussion. The RSA d SSDI as the criteria for was not allowed under determined by DVR to I functional loss requirir required service, or for would fall into this new come back with some t	The full SR id not appro Category 1 WIOA. The maye the mong multiple street the duration Priority 1 Cechnical lar	ith C has been invited to we the original draft 0 because having the co new draft includes a ne st significant disabilit services over a period of n of the use of a provice category. James has singuage feedback. Jam	OS. The RS andition that we Priority 2 dies are those of 6 months ded good." poken to RS des is reques	A did not agree with using t an individual must apply Category – "Individuals very who have four or more as or more, either for the provided to the provided to the provided to the stimates 1/3 of its constant of the stimates the sting from the SRC today,	the SSA and for SSA/SSDI who have been reas of ovision of a urrent consumers the RSA has an approval on		
completed and emailed out to the full SRC. The SRC members will be given 2 days to read and provide feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Discussion discussion. The RSA d SSDI as the criteria for was not allowed under determined by DVR to I functional loss requirir required service, or for would fall into this new come back with some t the general principal o	The full SR id not appro Category 1 WIOA. The make the mon g multiple sthe duration Priority 1 Cechnical lar	ith C has been invited to the original draft O because having the conew draft includes a new draft over a period of the use of a provious attegory. James has spraying and once aft oos today and once	OS. The RS andition that we Priority 2 ies are those of 6 months ded good." Tooken to RS es is requeste he has has	A did not agree with using t an individual must apply Category - "Individuals to e who have four or more as or more, either for the provided to the estimates 1/3 of its constant of the new draft and sting from the SRC today, and time to rework the word	the SSA and for SSA/SSDI who have been reas of ovision of a urrent consumers the RSA has an approval on ing he will		
feedback on the final new draft OOS. James will provide the final new draft OOS to the full SRC prior to April 1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Discussion discussion. The RSA d SSDI as the criteria for was not allowed under determined by DVR to I functional loss requirir required service, or for would fall into this new come back with some t the general principal o forward out the final ne	The full SR id not appro Category 1 WIOA. The maye the mon g multiple sthe duration Priority 1 Cechnical lar	ith C has been invited to the original draft O because having the co new draft includes a no st significant disabilit services over a period o n of the use of a provice category. James has sp nguage feedback. Jam aft OOS today and onc S. Diane Dalmasse as	OS. The RS andition that ew Priority 2 ies are thos of 6 months ded good." poken to RS es is reques the has has ked why are	A did not agree with using t an individual must apply 1 Category – "Individuals very who have four or more as or more, either for the provided to the new draft and sting from the SRC today, and time to rework the word we voting on something t	the SSA and for SSA/SSDI who have been reas of ovision of a urrent consumers the RSA has an approval on ing he will hat is not		
1st. A reminder will not be necessary. Follow – up: Final revised new draft OOS was emailed out to full SRC on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	Discussion discussion. The RSA d SSDI as the criteria for was not allowed under determined by DVR to I functional loss requirir required service, or for would fall into this new come back with some t the general principal o forward out the final new acceptable to the RSA.	The full SR id not appro Category 1 WIOA. The maye the money multiple so the duration Priority 1 Control lar for the new draft with the sew draft OOS	ith C has been invited to we the original draft O because having the co new draft includes a new st significant disabilities ervices over a period of an of the use of a provice category. James has se aguage feedback. Jam aft OOS today and onc S. Diane Dalmasse as embers agree to wait	OS. The RS andition that ew Priority 2 ies are thos of 6 months ded good." poken to RS es is reques to he has hat ked why are	A did not agree with using t an individual must apply Category – "Individuals to who have four or more as or more, either for the provided to the provided to the stimates 1/3 of its custant about the new draft and sting from the SRC today, and time to rework the word we voting on something to all changes are made to	the SSA and for SSA/SSDI who have been reas of ovision of a arrent consumers the RSA has an approval on ing he will hat is not		
on March, 14, 2016. SRC members were asked to review the new language and vote yay or nay by March 16,	discussion discussion. The RSA d SSDI as the criteria for was not allowed under determined by DVR to b functional loss requirir required service, or for would fall into this new come back with some t the general principal o forward out the final new acceptable to the RSA. Conclusions	The full SR id not appro Category 1 WIOA. The maye the more identified in the function of the new draft OOS The SRC m An actual in the file in the second in	ith C has been invited to we the original draft O because having the co new draft includes a no st significant disabilities ervices over a period of n of the use of a provice category. James has signing feedback. Jame aft OOS today and once of Diane Dalmasse as tembers agree to wait to	OS. The RS andition that ew Priority ? ies are thos of 6 months ded good." poken to RS es is reques he has hat ked why are to vote until ot taken to	A did not agree with using t an individual must apply Category - "Individuals to the who have four or more as or more, either for the provided to the stimates 1/3 of its custa about the new draft and sting from the SRC today, and time to rework the word we voting on something to lall changes are made to day. The final new draft O	the SSA and for SSA/SSDI who have been reas of ovision of a urrent consumers the RSA has an approval on ing he will hat is not OOS language. OS will be		
	discussion discussion. The RSA d SSDI as the criteria for was not allowed under determined by DVR to I functional loss requirir required service, or for would fall into this new come back with some t the general principal o forward out the final ne acceptable to the RSA. Conclusions completed and emailed	The full SR id not appro Category 1 WIOA. The mave the money multiple street the duration of Priority 1 Control larged from the new draft OOS The SRC mactual of dout to the	ith IC has been invited to the the original draft Or because having the conew draft includes a next significant disabilities over a period on of the use of a provious tategory. James has springuage feedback. Jame aft OOS today and once of the provious tategory and once of the provious tategory. It is not the use of a provious tategory. It is not the use of a provious tategory. It is not the use of a provious tategory. It is not the use of a provious tategory and once of the use of a provious tategory and once of the use of a provious tategory. The use of	OS. The RS andition that ew Priority 2 ies are thos of 6 months ded good." poken to RS es is reques e he has hat ked why are to vote until ot taken tod mbers will b	A did not agree with using t an individual must apply 1 Category – "Individuals we who have four or more as or more, either for the proving the stimates 1/3 of its custance of the sting from the SRC today, and time to rework the word we voting on something to lall changes are made to day. The final new draft Ore given 2 days to read and	the SSA and for SSA/SSDI who have been reas of ovision of a urrent consumers the RSA has an approval on ing he will hat is not OOS language. OS will be d provide		
2040.0	discussion discussion. The RSA d SSDI as the criteria for was not allowed under determined by DVR to I functional loss requirir required service, or for would fall into this new come back with some t the general principal o forward out the final ne acceptable to the RSA. Conclusions completed and emailed feedback on the final ne	The full SR id not appro Category 1 WIOA. The mave the mon ag multiple sthe duration Priority 1 Cechnical lar of the new draft OOS The SRC m An actual standard out to the new draft OOs	ith C has been invited to the the original draft O because having the conew draft includes a next significant disabilities over a period on of the use of a provious tategory. James has singuage feedback. Jame aft OOS today and once of the cone palmasse as the cone of approval was not of the service	OS. The RS andition that ew Priority 2 ies are thos of 6 months ded good." boken to RS es is reques e he has hat ked why are to vote until ot taken too mbers will be the final ne	A did not agree with using t an individual must apply Category - "Individuals to who have four or more as or more, either for the provided and the stimates 1/3 of its custant and the sting from the SRC today, and time to rework the word we voting on something the lall changes are made to day. The final new draft of the given 2 days to read and the word that OOS to the full SR	the SSA and for SSA/SSDI who have been reas of ovision of a urrent consumers the RSA has an approval on ing he will hat is not OOS language. OS will be d provide C prior to April		
2016. 9 yays were received. 3 members did not respond. New OOS language approved by email vote. The	discussion discussion. The RSA d SSDI as the criteria for was not allowed under determined by DVR to I functional loss requirir required service, or for would fall into this new come back with some t the general principal o forward out the final ne acceptable to the RSA. Conclusions completed and emailed feedback on the final not	The full SR id not appro Category 1 WIOA. The maye the mon Ig multiple sthe duration Priority 1 Cechnical lar f the new draft OOS The SRC m An actual strength of the	ith IC has been invited to ove the original draft O because having the conew draft includes a next significant disabilities over a period on of the use of a provious ategory. James has singuage feedback. Jame aft OOS today and once of Diane Dalmasse as the more agree to wait to over of approval was not full SRC. The SRC metary. Follow – up: Final	OS. The RS andition that ew Priority 2 ies are those of 6 months ded good." poken to RS es is reques to he has hat ked why are to vote until ot taken too mbers will b the final ne	A did not agree with using t an individual must apply Category – "Individuals very who have four or more as or more, either for the provided of the provided agree who have four or more as or more, either for the provided agree who have four or more as the provided agree who have four the new draft and sting from the SRC today, and time to rework the word we voting on something to all changes are made to agree who have final new draft of the given 2 days to read and the world of the full SR we draft oos was emailed to the strength of the	the SSA and for SSA/SSDI who have been reas of ovision of a urrent consumers the RSA has an approval on ing he will hat is not OOS language. OS will be d provide C prior to April out to full SRC		

Minutes - March 3, 2016 SRC Executive Committee - Steering

new language reads: "Category 1. Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have a physical or mental impairment that constitutes or results in a substantial impediment to employment and that seriously limits function in four or more areas of functional capacity (mobility, communication, work tolerance, work skills, self-care, self-direction, interpersonal skills, dexterity/coordination), requiring multiple services over a period of six months or more. Category 2. Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have a physical or mental impairment that constitutes or results in a substantial impediment to employment and that seriously limits function in two to three areas of functional capacity (mobility, communication, work tolerance, work skills, self-care, self-direction, interpersonal skills, dexterity/coordination), requiring multiple services over a period of six months or more. Category 3. Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have a physical or mental impairment that constitutes or results in a substantial impediment to employment and that seriously limits function in one area of functional capacity (mobility, communication, work tolerance, work skills, self-care, self-direction, interpersonal skills, dexterity/coordination), requiring multiple services over a period of 6 months or more. Category 4. Individuals who have been determined by DVR to have a physical or mental impairment that constitutes or results in a substantial impediment to employment and that seriously limits function in two to three areas of functional capacity (mobility, communication, work tolerance, work skills, self-care, self-direction, interpersonal skills, dexterity/coordination), requiring multiple services over a period of less than six months.

Action Items			reison kesponsible	Deauiille	
Provide full SRC with final new draft 00S		James Smith	3/28/2016		
4. Discussion and Approval of April 7, 2016 SRC Meeting Agenda					
	Discussion	Discussion Committee members would like to move April meeting to either Waterbury complex or			
	Gifford Hospital. Add "AWARE Update" right after "Consent Agenda" - 10 minutes. "Discussion and Vote -				
	Proposed Changes to SRC Bylaws" only needs 15 minutes. Remove standing agenda item "WIOA Update" as				
	it is included in "Director's Report". Leo Schiff, Hib Doe, Mark Ciociola and Stephanie Jackson (VR managers				
	will be attending the April 7th meeting. The discussion will be on "What is working well in your region?",				

"What has been challenging in your region?", "What are the future plans in your region?" and "What has been the impact of WIOA in your region?" Lisa Young is the project manager for AWARE. James Smith suggested

Person Responsible

Deadline

possibly inviting Lisa to the full SRC meeting to do the "AWARE Update".

Conclusions Rebekah Stephens will make adjustments to draft SRC agenda.

Action ItemsPerson ResponsibleDeadlineFinalize draft agenda for April 7, 2016 full SRC meetingRebekah Stephens03/25/2016

5. Discussion - Possible Future Disability Summit

Action Items

Discussion

Diane Dalmasse offered that a first step might be to contact the chairs from the different disability partners and councils to float the idea of a Disability Summit to see if there is any interest. Sam Liss agreed. Adam Leonard would like some vision around the idea of a summit. What is the goal? Diane suggested maybe topics on the "transportation and housing challenges that people with disabilities struggle with, in a rural state." A goal for the summit could be seeing if getting all of these individual organizations and councils together could be helpful in addressing these issues through a unified approach. Adam asked, "Is this work being done anywhere else?", "Is there a need for collaboration?" and "Is there an existing platform where these organizations and councils can get together and discuss these issues?" Sam Liss shared that there is work being done on these issues but on a fragmented basis and there is no coordinated effort at this time. The suggestion was made to add "healthcare" to the list of potential topics. Committee

Minutes - March 3, 2016 SRC Executive Committee - Steering

members discussed when to contact these organizations and councils. The recommendation was made to							
wait until after the Legislature has gone home. A possible time to hold the summit – after the Governor's							
election and invite th	election and invite the new Governor or in the early Fall.						
Conclusions	Conclusions Rebekah Stephens will email Steering Committee members for the list of individuals,						
	organizations and councils they want to contact. Early in May, Adam will send an email to the list of contacts						
to determine if there	is interest in a Disability Summit. Diane offered	l to assist Adam in draftir	ng the email.				
Action Items		Person Responsible	Deadline				
	nittee members to get list of contacts	Rebekah Stephens	4/11/2016				
Send list of contacts	to Adam Leonard	Rebekah Stephens	4/15/2016				
Send email to list of Disability Summit	contacts to determine if there is interest in a	Adam Leonard	5/13/2016				
6. Discussion	- Communication with DBVI SRC						
Discussion	The question was asked, "What would be the go	oal?" around communicat	tion with DBVI				
SRC. The response v	was, "maybe how are DBVI and VR managing the	WIOA requirements." The	e members are				
	having a joint meeting. The DBVI SRC and VR SI	<u> </u>					
one is sure about the	e topics covered or the results of that meeting. S	am Liss suggested mayb	e a goal would				
	y. James Smith mentioned, that is one of the Su						
discussed postponin	g a combined DBVI SRC - VR SRC meeting. Inste	ead invite DBVI to the Sur	nmit or do a				
separate meeting an	d invite other SRC chairs and the DBVI SRC chair	r.					
Conclusions	Diane Dalmasse offered to reach out to her cou	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
	s. Unclear at this time what this meeting would l	ook like, when it will take	place (maybe				
June) or what the top	pics will be.						
Action Items		Person Responsible	Deadline				
Diane Dalmasse to r	each out to other NE VR Directors	Diane Dalmasse	TBD				
7. Other Busin	less						
Discussion	Discussion None.						
Conclusions	Conclusions None.						
Action Items		Person Responsible	Deadline				
None		n/a	n/a				
8. Adjournme	nt						
Discussion	Alaina Clements made motion. Sam Liss 2 nd .						
Conclusions Adjourned at 1:30pm.							
Action Items		Person Responsible	Deadline				
Draft minutes submitted for approval		Rebekah Stephens	4/1/2016				
•	ded to website <u>www.VTSRC.org</u>	Rebekah Stephens	4/1/2016				
Draft minutes emailed to Committee members		Rebekah Stephens	4/1/2016				
Minutes approved by Committee		Committee Members	5/5/2016				
Approved minutes uploaded to website www.VTSRC.org Rebekah Stephens 5/13/2016							